

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	08/803,702	MAINO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Douglas A. Petry, Ph.D. \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N.A.

Claims discussed:

*Claim 26*

Prior art documents discussed:

N.A.

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment to the claim.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/G.R. Ewoldt/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)